A Clusterwise Center and Range Regression Model for Interval-Valued Data

Francisco de A. T. de Carvalho, Danilo N. Queiroz (CIn/UFPE-Brazil)

Gilbert Saporta (CNAM-France)

Outline

- Introduction
- Interval-Valued Data
- Clusterwise Regression Model
 - Algorithm
 - Prediction
 - "Goodness of fit" measures
- Applications
 - Car interval-valued data set
- Final Remarks
- References

Introduction

- Clusterwise linear regression is a useful technique when heterogeneity is present in the data.
- It has been proposed as a way to identify both the partition of the data and the relevant regression models, one for each cluster
- Some references: Spaeth (1979), Wayne et al (1988) Hennig (2000), Plaia (2001), Caporossi and Hansen (2007)
- Aim: to adapt clusterwise regression to interval-valued data

Interval-Value Data - I

- Interval-valued data arise in practical situations such as
 - recording monthly interval temperatures in meteorological stations
 - daily interval stock prices
 - or from the aggregation of huge data-bases into a reduced number of groups.
- Interval-valued data has been very much considered in Symbolic Data Analysis
- Book references: Bock and Diday (2000), Billard and Diday (2006), Diday and Noirhome (2008)

Interval-Value Data - II

	Pulse Rate	Systolic pressure	Diastolic pressure
1	[60, 72]	[90,130]	[70,90]
2	[70,112]	[110,142]	[80,108]
3	[54,72]	[90,100]	[50,70]
4	[70,100]	[130,160]	[80,110]
5	[63,75]	[60,100]	[140,150]
6	[44,68]	[90,100]	[50,70]

Each object i is described by a vector of intervals Interval-Valued Data Analysis Tools are very much required

Clusterwise Regression Model - I

- The present clusterwise regression model is based
 - On the dynamic clustering algorithm (Diday and Simon (1976))
 - Center and range linear regression model (Lima Neto and De Carvalho (2008)
- $E = \{1, ..., n\}$: set of observations described by p+1 interval-valued variables;
- Obervation $i \in E$ is described by a vector of intervals

$$\mathbf{e}_{i} = (w_{i1}, \dots, w_{ip}, z_{i})$$
 where
 $w_{ij} = [w_{ij}^{L}, w_{ij}^{U}]$ and $z_{i} = [z_{i}^{L}, z_{i}^{U}]$ (*i*=1,...,*n*; *j*=1,...,*p*)

Clusterwise Regression Model - II

 Obervation *i* ∈ *E* is also described by a vector of bivariate quantitative vectors

 $\mathbf{t}_i = (\mathbf{x}_{i1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{ip}, \mathbf{y}_i)$ where

$$\mathbf{x}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{ij}^c \\ x_{ij}^r \end{pmatrix} \qquad x_{ij}^c = \frac{w_{ij}^U + w_{ij}^L}{2} \qquad x_{ij}^r = \frac{w_{ij}^U - w_{ij}^L}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{ij}^c \\ y_{ij}^r \end{pmatrix} \qquad y_i^c = \frac{z_i^U + z_i^L}{2} \qquad y_i^r = \frac{z_i^U - z_i^L}{2}$$

(i = 1, ..., n; j = 1, ..., p)

Clusterwise Regression Model - III

- Aim:
 - Obtain a partition of *E* into *K* clusters *P*₁, ..., *P*_K, each cluster *P*_k (*k*=1,...,*K*) being represented by a prototype (model), by (locally) optimizing an adequacy criterion
- Particularity of the method:
 - The prototype of each cluster is given by a linear regression between dependent and independent interval-valued variables

$$\mathbf{y}_{i(k)} = \mathbf{\beta}_{0(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbf{\beta}_{j(k)} \mathbf{x}_{ij} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{i(k)} \quad (\forall i \in P_k)$$

Clusterwise Regression Model - IV

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0(k)}^{c} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0(k)}^{r} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j(k)}^{c} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j(k)}^{r} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{i(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{i(k)}^{c} \\ \varepsilon_{i(k)}^{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i}^{c} - (\beta_{0(k)}^{c} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j(k)}^{c} x_{ij}^{c}) \\ y_{i}^{r} - (\beta_{0(k)}^{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j(k)}^{r} x_{ij}^{r}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\forall i \in P_{k})$$

Adequacy criterion

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i \in P_k} (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)})^T (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i \in P_k} [(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)}^c)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)}^r)^2]$$

Algorithm - I

- Initialization
 - Fixe the number K ($2 \le K \le n$) of clusters;
 - Set t=0;
 - Randomly obtain $P^{(0)} = (P_1^{(0)}, ..., P_K^{(0)})$
- Step 1: determination of the best prototypes
 - Set t = t + 1;
 - The partition $P^{(t-1)} = (P_1^{(t-1)}, \dots, P_K^{(t-1)})$ is fixed

Algorithm - II

• The prototype

$$(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i(k)})^{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{y}_{i(k)}^{c})^{(t)} \\ (\hat{y}_{i(k)}^{r})^{(t)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\hat{\beta}_{0(k)}^{c})^{(t)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_{j(k)}^{c})^{(t)} x_{ij}^{c} \\ (\hat{\beta}_{0(k)}^{r})^{(t)} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_{j(k)}^{r})^{(t)} x_{ij}^{r} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\forall i \in P_k)$$

of cluster P_k (k=1,...,K), which minimizes J, has the least squares estimates of the parameters given by the solution of the system

$$\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)^{(t)} = \left(\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0(k)}^{c}\right)^{(t)}, \dots, \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{p(k)}^{c}\right)^{(t)}, \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0(k)}^{r}\right)^{(t)}, \dots, \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{p(k)}^{r}\right)^{(t)}\right) = \left(\mathbf{A}^{(t)}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{b}^{(t)}$$

where

Algorithm-III

Algorithm - IV

• Step 2: definition of the best partition

$$P_{k} = \left\{ i \in E : \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)} \right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(k)} \right) \leq \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(h)} \right)^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i(h)} \right), h = 1, \dots, K \right\}$$

• Stop criterion. Repeat steps 1 and 2 until the criterion *J* converges

Prediction

- A new observation $\mathbf{e} = (w_1, \dots, w_p, z)$ is described by the vector of bivariate quantitative vectors $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y})$
- Prediction of the interval $z = [z^L, z^U]$ from the estimated bivariate vectors $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{(k)} = (\hat{y}_{(k)}^c, \hat{y}_{(k)}^r)^T$ (k=1,...,K)

$$\hat{z}_{(k)} = [\hat{z}_{(k)}^{L}, \hat{z}_{(k)}^{U}]$$
 with $\hat{z}_{(k)}^{L} = \hat{y}_{(k)}^{c} - \hat{y}_{(k)}^{r}$ and $\hat{z}_{(k)}^{U} = \hat{y}_{(k)}^{c} + \hat{y}_{(k)}^{r}$

where

$$\hat{y}_{(k)}^{c} = \hat{\beta}_{0(k)}^{c} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_{j(k)}^{c} x_{j}^{c} \text{ and } \hat{y}_{(k)}^{r} == \hat{\beta}_{0(k)}^{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\beta}_{j(k)}^{r} x_{j}^{r}$$

"Goodness-of-fit" measures - I

• Determination coefficients

$$R_{c(k)}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} (\hat{y}_{i(k)}^{c} - \overline{y}_{c(k)})^{2}}{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} (y_{i}^{c} - \overline{y}_{c(k)})^{2}} \text{ with } \overline{y}_{c(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} y_{i}^{c}}{n_{k}}$$
$$R_{r(k)}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} (\hat{y}_{i(k)}^{r} - \overline{y}_{r(k)})^{2}}{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} (y_{i}^{r} - \overline{y}_{r(k)})^{2}} \text{ with } \overline{y}_{r(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i \in P_{k}} y_{i}^{r}}{n_{k}}$$

• Lower and upper boundaries root-mean-square error

$$RMSE_{L} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_{i}^{L} - \hat{z}_{i}^{L})^{2}}{n}} \qquad RMSE_{U} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_{i}^{U} - \hat{z}_{i}^{U})^{2}}{n}}{n}}$$

Application: car interval-valued data set - I

- 33 car models described by 2 interval-valued variables: price *y* and engine capacity *x*
- http://www.info.fundp.ac.be/asso/index.html



Fig. 1. The car interval-valued data set.

Application: car interval-valued data set - II

- Aim: predict *Price (y)* from *Engine Capacity (x)* through linear regression models
- Both variables *Price* and *Engine Capacity* –, have been considered for clustering purposes
- The algorithm has been performed on this data set in order to obtain a partition into $K = \{1, 2, 3\}$ clusters
- For a fixed *K*, the algorithm is run 100 times and the best result according to the adequacy criterion is selected.

Application: car interval-valued data set - III

• Regression equations

K-partition	cluster k	"Center Model"	"Range Model"
1	1	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^c = -98840.9 + 79.2 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^r = -341.4 + 60.9 x_1^r$
2	1	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^c = -63462.2 + 59.6 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^r = -4560.1 + 47.1 x_1^r$
	2	$\hat{y}_{(2)}^c = -22836.5 + 68.8 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(2)}^r = 34563.6 + 68.6 x_1^r$
	1	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^c = -77422.1 + 82.0 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(1)}^r = 2229.7 + 92.2 x_1^r$
3	2	$\hat{y}_{(2)}^c = -58484.1 + 71.1 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(2)}^r = 101952.9 - 546.7 x_1^r$
	3	$\hat{y}_{(3)}^c = -73362.1 + 62.0 x_1^c$	$\hat{y}_{(3)}^r = -9755.9 + 53.2 x_1^r$

• Determination coefficients

K-partition	1	2	2		3	
cluster k	1	1	2	1	2	3
$R^2_{c(k)}$	0.93	0.95	0.91	0.97	0.99	0.98
$R_{r(k)}^2$	0.53	0.79	0.66	0.98	0.98	0.83

Application: car interval-valued data set - IV

- Predictions: the estimates of the K regression models are combined according to the "stacked regressions" approach (Breiman (1996))
- Stacked regressions: uses cross validation data and least squares under non-negativity constraints for forming linear combinations of different predictors
- These predictions are combined to obtain the predictions for the observations belonging to the test data set
- RMSE_L and RMSE_U are computed from the predicted values on the test data sets

Application: car interval-valued data set - V

 This process is repeated 100 times and it is calculated the average and standard deviation of the RMSE_L and RMSE_U measures

K-partition	1	2	3
$RMSE_L$	96649.28 (13812.49)	90417.42 (13538.22)	94993.75 (11376.24)
$RMSE_U$	$143416.6\ (17294.02)$	$135471.4\ (17027.49)$	137825.9(14243.29)

• 2 regression models given by the 2-cluster partition give the best preditive model through the "stacked regressions" approach

Concluding Remarks

- It was introduced a clusterwise regression model for interval-valued data.
- It combines the dynamic clustering algorithm with the center and range regression model for interval-valued
- Aim: to identify both the partition of the data and the relevant regression models (one for each cluster).
- Experiments with a car interval-valued data set showed the interest of this approach

Bibliography

- [1] BILLARD, L. and DIDAY, E. (2007): Symbolic Data Analysis: Conceptual Statistics and Data Mining. Wiley-Interscience, San Francisco.
- [2] BREIMAN, L. (1996): Stacked Regressions. Machine Learning 24, 49-64.
- [3] DIDAY, E. and SIMON, J.C. (1976): Clustering analysis. In: K.S. Fu (Eds.): Digital Pattern Classication. Springer, Berlin, 47–94.
- [4] HENNIG, C. (2000): Identifiability of models for clusterwise linear regression. J. Classication 17 (2), 273-296.
- [5] LIMA NETO, E. A. and DE CARVALHO, F.A.T. (2008): Centre and Range method for fitting a linear regression model to symbolic interval data. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 52 (3): 1500-1515.
- [6] SPAETH, H. (1979): Clusterwise Linear Regression. Computing 22 (4), 367-373.
- [7] WAYNE, S., DESARBO, W.S. and CRON, W.L. (1988): A maximum likelihood methodology for clusterwise linear regression. J. Classication 5 (2), 249-282.

Thank you