

# On a Class of Permutation Polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

Pascale Charpin<sup>1</sup> and Gohar M. Kyureghyan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRIA, SECRET research Team, B.P. 105, 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex, France  
`pascale.charpin@inria.fr`

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg,  
Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany  
`gohar.kyureghyan@ovgu.de`

**Abstract.** We study permutation polynomials of the shape  $F(X) = G(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X))$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We prove that if the polynomial  $G(X)$  is a permutation polynomial or a linearized polynomial, then the considered problem can be reduced to finding Boolean functions with linear structures. Using this observation we describe six classes of such permutation polynomials.

**Keywords:** Permutation polynomial, linear structure, linearized polynomial, trace, Boolean function.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be the finite field with  $2^n$  elements. A polynomial  $F(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  is called a permutation polynomial (PP) of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  if the associated polynomial mapping

$$F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}, \\ x \mapsto F(x)$$

is a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . There are several criteria ensuring that a given polynomial is a PP, but those conditions are, however, rather complicated, cf. [7]. PP are involved in many applications of finite fields, especially in cryptography, coding theory and combinatorial design theory. Finding PP of a special type is of great interest for the both theoretical and applied aspects.

In this paper we study PP of the following shape

$$F(X) = G(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X)), \tag{1}$$

where  $G(X), H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $Tr(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^{2^i}$  is the polynomial defining the absolute trace function of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Examples of such polynomials are obtained in [3],[6] and [9]. We show that in the case the polynomial  $G(X)$  is a PP or a linearized polynomial the considered problem can be reduced to finding Boolean functions with linear structures. We use this observation to describe six classes of PP of type (1).

## 2 A Linear Structure of a Boolean Function

A Boolean function from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$  can be represented as  $Tr(R(x))$  for some (not unique) mapping  $R : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . A Boolean function  $Tr(R(x))$  is said to have a linear structure  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  if

$$Tr(R(x)) + Tr(R(x + \alpha)) = Tr(R(x) + R(x + \alpha))$$

is a constant function. We call a linear structure  $c$ -linear structure if

$$Tr(R(x) + R(x + \alpha)) \equiv c,$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Given  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , let  $H_\gamma(c)$  denote the affine hyperplane defined by the equation  $Tr(\gamma x) = c$ , i.e.,

$$H_\gamma(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid Tr(\gamma x) = c\}.$$

Then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  is a  $c$ -linear structure for  $Tr(R(x))$  if and only if the image set of the mapping  $R(x) + R(x + \alpha)$  is contained in the affine hyperplane  $H_1(c)$ .

The Walsh transform of a Boolean function  $Tr(R(x))$  is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{W} : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \mapsto \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(R(x) + \lambda x)}.$$

Whether a Boolean function  $Tr(R(x))$  has a linear structure can be recognized from its Walsh transform.

**Proposition 1 ([2,8]).** *Let  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $R : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . An element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  is a  $(c + 1)$ -linear structure for  $Tr(R(x))$  if and only if*

$$\mathcal{W}(\lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(R(x) + \lambda x)} = 0$$

for all  $\lambda \in H_\alpha(c)$ .

In [5] all Boolean functions assuming a linear structure are characterized as follows.

**Theorem 1 ([5]).** *Let  $R : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then the Boolean function  $Tr(R(x))$  has a linear structure if and only if there is a non-bijective linear mapping  $L : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that*

$$Tr(R(x)) = Tr(H \circ L(x) + \beta x) + c,$$

where  $H : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

Clearly, any element from the kernel of  $L$  is a linear structure of  $Tr(R(x))$  considered in Theorem 1. Moreover, those are the only ones if the mapping  $Tr(H(x))$  has no linear structure belonging to the image of  $L$ . We record this observation in the following lemma to refer it later.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $H : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be an arbitrary mapping. Then  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  is a linear structure of*

$$\text{Tr}(H(x^2 + \gamma x) + \beta x)$$

for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ .

Next lemma describes another family of Boolean functions having a linear structure. Its proof is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a linear structure of  $\text{Tr}(F(x) + F(x + \alpha) + \beta x)$  for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ .*

In general, for a given Boolean function it is difficult to recognize whether it admits a linear structure. Slightly extending results from [4], we characterize all monomial Boolean functions assuming a linear structure. More precisely, for a given nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , we describe all exponents  $s$  and nonzero  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that  $a$  is a linear structure for the Boolean function  $\text{Tr}(\delta x^s)$ .

Let  $0 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$ . We denote by  $C_s$  the cyclotomic coset modulo  $2^n - 1$  containing  $s$ :

$$C_s = \{s, 2s, \dots, 2^{n-1}s\} \pmod{2^n - 1}.$$

Note that if  $|C_s| = l$ , then  $\{x^s \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^l}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{2^l}$  is the smallest such subfield.

The next lemma is an extension of Lemma 2 from [4].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $0 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$ ,  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  be such that the Boolean function  $\text{Tr}(\delta x^s)$  is a nonzero function. Then  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  is a linear structure of the Boolean function  $\text{Tr}(\delta x^s)$  if and only if*

- (a)  $s = 2^i$  and  $a$  is arbitrary
- (b)  $s = 2^i + 2^j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $(\delta a^{2^i+2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta a^{2^i+2^j})^{2^{n-j}} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  be a linear structure for  $\text{Tr}(\delta x^s)$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}(\delta(x^s + (x + a)^s)) \equiv c \tag{2}$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and a fixed  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . In [4] it is shown that in the case  $|C_s| = n$  the identity (2) can be satisfied only if the binary weight of  $s$  does not exceed 2. On the other side it is easy to see that for an  $s$  of binary weight 1 the corresponding Boolean function  $\text{Tr}(\delta x^s)$  is linear and thus any nonzero element is a linear structure. If  $s = 2^i + 2^j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\delta(x^{2^i+2^j} + (x + a)^{2^i+2^j})) &= \text{Tr}\left(\delta a^{2^i+2^j} \left(\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2^i} + \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2^j}\right)\right) + \text{Tr}(\delta a^{2^i+2^j}) \\ &= \text{Tr}\left(\left((\delta a^{2^i+2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta a^{2^i+2^j})^{2^{n-j}}\right) \frac{x}{a}\right) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(\delta a^{2^i+2^j}), \end{aligned}$$

implying (b). To complete the proof, we need to consider the case  $|C_s| = l < n$ . Let  $n = lm$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}(\delta(x^s + (x + a)^s)) = \text{Tr}(\beta(y^s + (y + 1)^s)),$$

where  $y = x/a$  and  $\beta = \delta a^s$ . We write  $i \prec s$  if  $i \neq s$  and the binary representation of  $i$  is covered by the one of  $s$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}(\beta(y^s + (y + 1)^s)) = \sum_{i \prec s} \text{Tr}(\beta y^i) = \sum_{k \prec s, k \text{ is a coset repr.}} \text{Tr}(\beta_k y^k).$$

Note that the exponents in the monomial summands  $\text{Tr}(\beta_k y^k)$  are from different cyclotomy cosets. Hence to have

$$\sum_{k \prec s, k \text{ is a coset repr.}} \text{Tr}(\beta_k y^k) \equiv c$$

it is necessary that  $c = \text{Tr}(\beta)$  and  $\text{Tr}(\beta_k y^k) \equiv 0$  for all  $k \neq 0$ . Consider  $k_0 \prec s$  such that  $k_0 = s - 2^i$ . Lemma 3 of [1] implies that  $|C_{k_0}| = n$ , and therefore  $\text{Tr}(\beta_{k_0} y^{k_0}) \equiv 0$  holds only if  $\beta_{k_0} = 0$ . Further  $\beta_{k_0} = \beta + \beta^{2^i} + \dots + \beta^{2^{i(m-1)}} = \text{Tr}_v^u(\beta)$ , where  $\text{Tr}_v^u$  denotes the trace function from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^u}$  onto its subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{2^v}$ . Hence necessarily  $\text{Tr}_l^n(\beta) = \text{Tr}_l^n(\delta a^s) = a^s \text{Tr}_l^n(\delta) = 0$ , and thus the Boolean function

$$\text{Tr}(\delta x^s) = \text{Tr}_1^l(x^s \text{Tr}_l^n(\delta))$$

is the zero function. □

Observe that  $\delta = a^{-(2^i+2^j)}$  satisfies condition (b) of Lemma 3.

### 3 Permutation Polynomials

In this section we study permutation polynomials of the shape

$$F(X) = G(X) + \gamma \text{Tr}(H(X)),$$

where  $G(X), H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Firstly we observe the following necessary property of  $G(X)$ .

*Claim.* Let  $G(X), H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . If

$$F(X) = G(X) + \gamma \text{Tr}(H(X))$$

is a PP of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , then for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  there are at most 2 elements  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that  $G(x_1) = G(x_2) = \beta$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there are different  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  with  $G(x_1) = G(x_2) = G(x_3) = \beta$ . Then  $F$  cannot be a PP, since  $F(x_i) \in \{\beta, \beta + \gamma\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . □

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G(X), H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then*

$$F(X) = G(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X))$$

*is a PP of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  if and only if for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  it holds*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda G(x))} = 0 \quad \text{if } Tr(\gamma\lambda) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda G(x)+H(x))} = 0 \quad \text{if } Tr(\gamma\lambda) = 1. \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $F(X)$  is a PP if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda F(x))} = 0$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , cf. [7]. Since

$$Tr(\lambda F(x)) = Tr(\lambda G(x)) + Tr(H(x))Tr(\gamma\lambda) = Tr(\lambda G(x) + H(x)Tr(\gamma\lambda)),$$

it must hold

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda F(x))} = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda G(x))} = 0 & \text{if } Tr(\gamma\lambda) = 0 \\ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda G(x)+H(x))} = 0 & \text{if } Tr(\gamma\lambda) = 1. \end{cases}$$

□

Next we consider polynomials  $F(X) = G(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X))$ , where  $G(X)$  is a PP or a linearized polynomial.

### 3.1 $G(X)$ Is a Permutation Polynomial

Firstly we establish a connection of the considered problem with the Boolean functions assuming a linear structure.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G(X), H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $G(X)$  be a PP. Then*

$$F(X) = G(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X)) \tag{5}$$

*is a PP of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  if and only if  $H(X) = R(G(X))$ , where  $R(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  and  $\gamma$  is a 0-linear structure of the Boolean function  $Tr(R(x))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G(X)$  is a PP, condition (3) is satisfied. Let  $G^{-1}$  be the inverse mapping of the associated mapping of  $G$ . Then condition (4) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda G(x)+H(x))} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{Tr(\lambda y+H(G^{-1}(y)))} = 0$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with  $Tr(\gamma\lambda) = 1$ . Proposition 1 completes the proof. □

From Theorem 2 it follows that any PP of type (5) is obtained by substituting  $G(X)$  into a PP of shape  $X + \gamma Tr(R(X))$ . The next theorem describes two classes of such polynomials.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$ .*

(a) *Then the polynomial*

$$X + \gamma Tr(H(X^2 + \gamma X) + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $Tr(\beta\gamma) = 0$ .*

(b) *Then the polynomial*

$$X + \gamma Tr(H(X) + H(X + \gamma) + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $Tr(\beta\gamma) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (a) By Theorem 2 the considered polynomial is a PP if and only if  $\gamma$  is a 0-linear structure of  $Tr(H(x^2 + \gamma x) + \beta x)$ . To complete the proof note that

$$Tr(H((x + \gamma)^2 + \gamma(x + \gamma)) + \beta(x + \gamma)) + Tr(H(x^2 + \gamma x) + \beta x) = Tr(\beta\gamma).$$

(b) The proof follows from Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 similarly to the previous case. □

Our next goal is to characterize all permutation polynomials of shape  $X + \gamma Tr(\delta X^s + \beta X)$ . Firstly, observe that if  $s = 2^i$ , then Theorem 2 yields that  $X + \gamma Tr(\delta X^{2^i} + \beta X)$  is a PP if and only if  $Tr(\delta\gamma^{2^i} + \beta\gamma) = 0$ . The remaining cases are covered in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $3 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$  be of binary weight  $\geq 2$ . Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be such that the Boolean function  $x \mapsto Tr(\delta x^s)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , is not the zero function. Then the polynomial*

$$X + \gamma Tr(\delta X^s + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $s = 2^i + 2^j$ ,  $(\delta\gamma^{2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta\gamma^{2^i})^{2^{n-j}} = 0$  and  $Tr(\delta\gamma^{2^i+2^j} + \beta\gamma) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 the polynomial  $X + \gamma Tr(\delta X^s + \beta X)$  defines a permutation if and only if  $\gamma$  is a 0-linear structure of  $Tr(\delta x^s + \beta x)$ . Then Lemma 3 implies that the binary weight of  $s$  must be 2. Note that for  $s = 2^i + 2^j$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\delta(x + \gamma)^{2^i+2^j} + \beta(x + \gamma)) + Tr(\delta x^{2^i+2^j} + \beta x) \\ &= Tr(\delta x^{2^i} \gamma^{2^j} + \delta x^{2^j} \gamma^{2^i} + \delta \gamma^{2^i+2^j} + \beta\gamma) \\ &= Tr\left(\left((\delta\gamma^{2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta\gamma^{2^i})^{2^{n-j}}\right)x\right) + Tr(\delta\gamma^{2^i+2^j} + \beta\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\gamma$  is a 0-linear structure of  $Tr(\delta x^s + \beta x)$  if and only if  $(\delta\gamma^{2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta\gamma^{2^i})^{2^{n-j}} = 0$  and  $Tr(\delta\gamma^{2^i+2^j} + \beta\gamma) = 0$ . □

As an application of Theorem 4 we get the complete characterization of PP of type  $X^d + Tr(X^t)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $1 \leq d, t \leq 2^n - 2$ . Then*

$$X^d + Tr(X^t)$$

*is PP over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $n$  is even
- $\gcd(d, 2^n - 1) = 1$
- $t = d \cdot s \pmod{2^n - 1}$  for some  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$  and has binary weight 1 or 2.

*Proof.* By Claim 3 the considered polynomial defines a permutation on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  only if  $X^d$  does it, which forces  $\gcd(d, 2^n - 1) = 1$ . Let  $d^{-1}$  be the multiplicative inverse of  $d$  modulo  $2^n - 1$ . Then  $X^d + Tr(X^t)$  is PP if and only if  $X + Tr(X^{d^{-1} \cdot t})$  is PP. Theorems 2 and 4 with  $\gamma = \delta = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$  imply that the later polynomial is PP if and only if  $d^{-1} \cdot t = 2^i + 2^j \pmod{2^n - 1}$  with  $i \geq j$  and  $Tr(1) = 0$ . Finally note that  $Tr(1) = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is even. □

### 3.2 $G(X)$ Is a Linearized Polynomial

Let  $G(X) = L(X)$  be a linearized polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . In this subsection we characterize elements  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and polynomials  $H(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  for which  $L(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X))$  is PP. By Claim 3 the mapping defined by  $L$  must necessarily be bijective or 2-to-1. Since the case of bijective  $L$  is covered in the previous subsection, we consider here 2-to-1 linear mappings.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $L : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be a linear 2-to-1 mapping with kernel  $\{0, \alpha\}$  and  $H : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . If for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  the mapping*

$$N(x) = L(x) + \gamma Tr(H(x))$$

*is a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , then  $\gamma$  does not belong to the image set of  $L$ . Moreover, for such an element  $\gamma$  the mapping  $N(x)$  is a permutation if and only if  $\alpha$  is a 1-linear structure for  $Tr(H(x))$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $\gamma$  belongs to the image set of  $L$ , then the image set of  $N$  is contained in that of  $L$ . In particular,  $N$  is not a permutation. We suppose now  $\gamma$  does not belong to the image set of  $L$ . It holds

$$N(x) = \begin{cases} L(x) & \text{if } Tr(H(x)) = 0 \\ L(x) + \gamma & \text{if } Tr(H(x)) = 1, \end{cases}$$

and for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  we have

$$N(x) + N(x + \alpha) = \gamma Tr(H(x) + H(x + \alpha)).$$

Thus, if  $N$  is a permutation, then  $Tr(H(x) + H(x + \alpha)) = 1$  for all  $x$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is a 1-linear structure for  $Tr(H(x))$ . Conversely, assume that

$$Tr(H(x) + H(x + \alpha)) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}. \tag{6}$$

Let  $y, z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be such that  $N(y) = N(z)$ . If  $Tr(H(y) + H(z)) = 0$  then

$$N(y) + N(z) = L(y + z) = 0,$$

and hence  $y + z \in \{0, \alpha\}$ . Further, (6) forces  $y = z$ . To complete the proof, observe that  $Tr(H(y) + H(z)) = 1$  is impossible, since it implies

$$N(y) + N(z) = L(y + z) + \gamma = 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $\gamma$  is not in the image set of  $L$ . □

Lemmas 1, 2 in combination with Lemma 4 imply the following classes of PP.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $L \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  be a linearized polynomial, defining a 2- to -1 mapping with kernel  $\{0, \alpha\}$ . Further let  $H \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be not in the image set of  $L$ .*

(a) *The polynomial*

$$L(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X^2 + \alpha X) + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $Tr(\beta\alpha) = 1$ .*

(b) *The polynomial*

$$L(X) + \gamma Tr(H(X) + H(X + \alpha) + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $Tr(\beta\alpha) = 1$ .*

*Remark 1.* To apply Theorem 5 we need to have a linearized 2- to -1 polynomial with known kernel and image set. An example of such a polynomial is  $X^{2^k} + \alpha^{2^k-1}X$  where  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  with  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ . The kernel of its associated mapping is  $\{0, \alpha\}$  and the image set is  $H_{\alpha^{-2^k}}(0)$ . Moreover, any linear 2- to -1 mapping with kernel  $\{0, \alpha\}$  (or image set  $H_{\alpha^{-2^k}}(0)$ ) can be obtained as a left (or right) composition of this mapping with an appropriate bijective linear mapping.

The next result is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3 and 4.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $L \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[X]$  be a linearized polynomial defining a 2- to -1 mapping with kernel  $\{0, \alpha\}$ . Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $\gamma$  do not belong to the image set of  $L$ . If  $3 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$  is of binary weight  $\geq 2$ , then the polynomial*

$$L(X) + \gamma Tr(\delta X^s + \beta X)$$

*is PP if and only if  $s = 2^i + 2^j$ ,  $(\delta\alpha^{2^j})^{2^{n-i}} + (\delta\alpha^{2^i})^{2^{n-j}} = 0$  and  $Tr(\delta\alpha^{2^i+2^j} + \beta\alpha) = 1$ .*

Theorem 6 yields the complete characterization of PP of type  $X^{2^k} + X + Tr(X^s)$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and  $1 \leq s \leq 2^n - 2$ . Then*

$$X^{2^k} + X + Tr(X^s)$$

*is PP over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $n$  is odd
- $\gcd(k, n) = 1$
- $s$  has binary weight 1 or 2.

*Proof.* Firstly observe that the polynomial  $X^{2^k} + X$  has at least two zeros, 0 and 1. Hence from Claim 3 it follows that if  $X^{2^k} + X + Tr(X^s)$  is PP then necessarily the mapping  $L(x) = x^{2^k} + x$  is 2-to-1. This holds if and only if  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . Further note that the image set of such an  $L$  is the hyperplane  $H_1(0)$ . Hence  $\gamma = 1$  does not belong to the image set of  $L$  if and only if  $Tr(1) = 1$ , equivalently if  $n$  is odd. The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 6 with  $\alpha = \delta = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Some results of this paper are valid also in the finite fields of odd characteristic. In a forthcoming paper we will report more accurately on that.

## References

1. Bierbrauer, J., Kyureghyan, G.: Crooked binomials. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 46, 269–301 (2008)
2. Dubuc, S.: Characterization of linear structures. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 22, 33–45 (2001)
3. Hollmann, H.D.L., Xing, Q.: A class of permutation polynomials of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  related to Dickson polynomials. *Finite Fields Appl.* 11(1), 111–122 (2005)
4. Kyureghyan, G.: Crooked maps in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . *Finite Fields Appl.* 13(3), 713–726 (2007)
5. Lai, X.: Additive and linear structures of cryptographic functions. In: Preneel, B. (ed.) *FSE 1994*. LNCS, vol. 1008, pp. 75–85. Springer, Heidelberg (1995)
6. Laigle-Chapuy, Y.: A note on a class of quadratic permutations over  $F_{2^n}$ . In: Boztaş, S., Lu, H.-F.(F.) (eds.) *AAECC 2007*. LNCS, vol. 4851, pp. 130–137. Springer, Heidelberg (2007)
7. Lidl, R., Niederreiter, H.: *Finite Fields*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 20
8. Yashchenko, V.V.: On the propagation criterion for Boolean functions and bent functions. *Problems of Information Transmission* 33(1), 62–71 (1997)
9. Yuan, J., Ding, C., Wang, H., Pieprzyk, J.: Permutation polynomials of the form  $(x^p - x + \delta)^s + L(x)$ . *Finite Fields Appl.* 14(2), 482–493 (2008)