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Cardiac electrophysiology model

Bidomain equations

From 9 measures to 12 leads

\[
\begin{align*}
I &= u_T(L) - u_T(R) & aVR &= 1.5(u_T(R) - u_w) \\
II &= u_T(F) - u_T(R) & aVL &= 1.5(u_T(L) - u_w) \\
III &= u_T(F) - u_T(L) & aVF &= 1.5(u_T(F) - u_w) \\
& & V6 &= u_T(V_6) - u_w
\end{align*}
\]

[Collin, Gerbeau, Schenone - 2014]
Cardiac electrophysiology model

Bidomain equations

\[
\begin{align*}
I & = u_T(L) - u_T(R) & \text{aVR} & = 1.5(u_T(R) - u_w) \\
II & = u_T(F) - u_T(R) & \text{aVL} & = 1.5(u_T(L) - u_w) \\
III & = u_T(F) - u_T(L) & \text{aVF} & = 1.5(u_T(F) - u_w)
\end{align*}
\]

From 9 measures to 12 leads

\[
\begin{align*}
V1 = u_T(V_1) - u_w \\
aVR = 1.5(u_T(R) - u_w) \\
aVL = 1.5(u_T(L) - u_w) \\
aVF = 1.5(u_T(F) - u_w)
\end{align*}
\]

[Collin, Gerbeau, Schenone - 2014]
Cardiac electrophysiology model

Bidomain equations

\[ I = u_T(L) - u_T(R) \]
\[ aVR = 1.5(u_T(R) - u_w) \]
\[ II = u_T(F) - u_T(R) \]
\[ aVL = 1.5(u_T(L) - u_w) \]
\[ III = u_T(F) - u_T(L) \]
\[ aVF = 1.5(u_T(F) - u_w) \]

From 9 measures to 12 leads

\[ V1 = u_T(V_1) - u_w \]
\[ V2 = u_T(V_2) - u_w \]
\[ V3 = u_T(V_3) - u_w \]
\[ V4 = u_T(V_4) - u_w \]
\[ V5 = u_T(V_5) - u_w \]
\[ V6 = u_T(V_6) - u_w \]

Standard 12-lead ECG

[Collin, Gerbeau, Schenone - 2014]
Cardiac electrophysiology model

Bidomain equations

- Bidomain equations

\[ A_m \left( C_m \frac{\partial v_m}{\partial t} + I_{\text{ion}}(v_m, w) \right) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla v_m) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla u_E) = A_m I_{\text{app}} \]

\[ -\text{div}((\bar{\sigma}_I + \bar{\sigma}_E) \nabla u_E) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla v_m) = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - g(v_m, w) = 0 \]
Cardiac electrophysiology model
Bidomain equations

- Bidomain equations

\[ A_m \left( C_m \frac{\partial v_m}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(v_m, w) \right) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla v_m) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla u_E) = A_m I_{app} \]
\[- \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I + \bar{\sigma}_E \nabla u_E) - \text{div}(\bar{\sigma}_I \nabla v_m) = 0 \]
\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - g(v_m, w) = 0 \]

- Ionic model
  - Fitzhugh-Nagumo (FhN)
    \[ I_{ion}(u, w) = su(u - a)(u - 1) + w \]
    \[ g(u, w) = \epsilon(\gamma u - w) \]
  - Mitchell-Schaeffer (MS)
    \[ I_{ion}(u, w) = w \frac{u^2(1 - u)}{\tau_{in}} - \frac{u}{\tau_{out}} \]
    \[ g(u, w) = \begin{cases} 
      \frac{1 - w}{\tau_{open}} & u \leq u_{gate} \\
      -\frac{w}{\tau_{close}} & u > u_{gate} 
    \end{cases} \]
A numerical approximation
Overview on Finite Element Method (FEM)

FEM space

\[ u = u(x) \]
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FEM space

\[ u(x) \approx \sum_{i}^{N} u_i v_i(x) \]

\[ u = u(x) \]

\[ x_{i-1} \quad x_i \quad x_{i+1} \]

\[ v_{i-1} \quad v_i \quad v_{i+1} \]
A numerical approximation
Overview on Finite Element Method (FEM)

**FEM space**

\[ u(x) \approx \sum_{i}^{N} u_{i}v_{i}(x) \]

\[ u = u(x) \]

\[ u_{i-1} \quad x_{i} \quad x_{i+1} \]

\[ \varphi_{2}(x) \]

\[ \varphi_{1}(x) \]

\[ u(x) \approx \tilde{u}_{1}\varphi_{1}(x) + \tilde{u}_{2}\varphi_{2}(x) \]
FEM versus ROM

**FEM space**

\[ V_N \equiv \text{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\} \subset V \]

\[ \text{dim}(V_N) = N \]

\[ u = \sum_i u_i v_i(x), \quad u_i = \langle u, v_i \rangle \]

**ROM space**

\[ V_N \equiv \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N\} \subset V_N \]

\[ \text{dim}(V_N) = N \ll N \]

\[ \tilde{u} = \sum_i \tilde{u}_i \varphi_i(x), \quad \tilde{u}_i = \langle u, \varphi_i \rangle \]

\[ \Phi_N = [\varphi_1 \ldots \varphi_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \]

\[ \tilde{u} = \Phi_T^N u \]
Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)
A “classical” approach to Reduced Order Models

- Use information given by FEM solution(s) to build a suitable basis
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- Use information given by FEM solution(s) to build a suitable basis

1. Solve with FEM the problem(s)

\[ \partial_t u = F(u, \partial_x^{(n)}), \pi), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \]
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A “classical” approach to Reduced Order Models

- Use information given by FEM solution(s) to build a suitable basis

1. Solve with FEM the problem(s)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = F(u, \partial_x^{(n)} u, \pi), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \]

2. Collect snapshots of FEM solution(s) in a matrix

\[ S = (u^1, \ldots, u^p) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p} \quad u^i = \begin{bmatrix} u(x_1, t_i) \\ \vdots \\ u(x_N, t_i) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall i = 1, \ldots, N \]
Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)
A “classical” approach to Reduced Order Models

- Use information given by FEM solution(s) to build a suitable basis

1. Solve with FEM the problem(s)
   \[ \partial_t u = F(u, \partial_x^{(n)}, \pi), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \]

2. Collect snapshots of FEM solution(s) in a matrix
   \[ S = (u^1, \ldots, u^p) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}, \quad u^i = \begin{bmatrix} u(x_1, t_i) \\ \vdots \\ u(x_N, t_i) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall i = 1, \ldots, N \]

3. Compute Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the matrix
   \[ S = \Phi \Sigma \Psi^T, \quad \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_i) \]

4. Keep first eigenvectors as ROM basis functions

- Can reproduce events described by the FEM solution(s)
POD application in Cardiac Electrophysiology
Simulation of a myocardial infarction

- Infarcted tissue: damaged area which cannot be activated
  - to build the POD basis, we use a FEM solution with NO infarction

[Boulakia, Schenone, Gerbeau - 2012]
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Simulation of a myocardial infarction

- An efficient POD to simulate an infarction in any point of the heart
  - to build the POD basis, we use many FEM solutions with infarction
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POD application in Cardiac Electrophysiology
Simulation of a myocardial infarction

- An efficient POD to simulate an infarction in any point of the heart
  - to build the POD basis, we use many FEM solutions with infarction

  - healthy FEM solution \( S_h = [u^1_{h} \mid u^2_{h} \mid \ldots \mid u^{N_T}_{h}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N_T} \)
  - infarct 1 FEM solution \( S_{I_1} = [u^1_{I_1} \mid u^2_{I_1} \mid \ldots \mid u^{N_T}_{I_1}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N_T} \)
  - infarct 2 FEM solution \( S_{I_2} = [u^1_{I_2} \mid u^2_{I_2} \mid \ldots \mid u^{N_T}_{I_2}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N_T} \)
  - \ldots \ldots \ldots 
  - infarct m FEM solution \( S_{I_m} = [u^1_{I_m} \mid u^2_{I_m} \mid \ldots \mid u^{N_T}_{I_m}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N_T} \)

- compute the SVD on an enlarged matrix

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
S_h & S_{I_1} & S_{I_2} & \ldots & S_{I_m}
\end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (m+1)N_T}
\]
POD application in Cardiac Electrophysiology
Simulation of a myocardial infarction

- An efficient POD to simulate an infarction in any point of the heart
- to build the POD basis, we use many FEM solutions with infarction

FEM (79,537 basis)  POD (100 basis)
POD application in Cardiac Electrophysiology
Simulation of a myocardial infarction

- An efficient POD to simulate an infarction in any point of the heart
- to build this POD, we use many FEM solutions with infarction

FEM (79,537 basis)  POD (100 basis)

[Boulakia, Schenone, Gerbeau - 2012]

Elisa SCHENONE
Inria Junior Seminar
ALP (Approximated Lax Pairs) method
A new approach to Reduced Order Models

- Solve a generic PDE system for any set of parameters

\[ \partial_t u = F(u, \partial_x^{(n)}, \pi), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \]

- Define a time evolving modal expansion

\[ \hat{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t) \]
ALP method

Summary

- Solve a generic PDE system for any set of parameters

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u &= F(u), \quad \Omega \times [0, T] \\
u(t = 0) &= u_0, \quad \Omega 
\end{align*}
\]

- Method

1. Choose an initial basis
2. Define the basis evolution
3. Representation in the reduced space
ALP method
1. Choose an initial basis, 2. Define the basis evolution

1. Definition of the basis using PDE solution

\[ \mathcal{L}(u) \varphi_m(t) = \lambda_m(t) \varphi_m(t) \]

Solution of \( \partial_t u = F(u) \)

1.1) Choose of an operator \( \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{Schrödinger} \)

\[ \mathcal{L}_\chi(u) \varphi = -\Delta \varphi - \chi u \varphi \]

1.2) Solve the eigenvalue problem \( \mathcal{L}_\chi(u) \varphi_m = \lambda_m \varphi_m \)

1.3) The initial basis is \( \{ \varphi_m \}_{m \geq 1} \)

2. Find an operator \( \mathcal{M} \) s.t. \( \partial_t \varphi_m(t) = \mathcal{M}(t) \varphi_m(t) \)
ALP method in electrophysiology
Discretization of bidomain equations

- Bidomain equations + FhN model

\[
A_m C_m \partial_t v_m = f(v_m, u_E, w) \\
\partial_t w = g(v_m, w) \\
q(v_m, u_E) = 0
\]

where
\[
f(v_m, u_E, w) = A_m s v_m (v_m - a)(1 - v_m) - A_m w + \text{div}(\sigma_I \nabla v_m) + \text{div}(\sigma_I \nabla u_E) + A_m I_{app} \\
g(v_m, w) = \epsilon (\gamma v_m - w) \\
q(v_m, u_E) = -\text{div}((\sigma_I + \sigma_E) \nabla u_E) - \text{div}(\sigma_I \nabla v_m)
\]

- Write the solution in the RO space

\[
\hat{v}_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t) \\
\hat{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t) \\
\hat{u}_E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t)
\]

\[
f(\hat{v}_m, \hat{u}_E, \hat{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t) \\
g(\hat{v}_m, \hat{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i(t) \varphi_i(x, t) \\
q(\hat{v}_m, \hat{u}_E) = E \hat{v}_m + Q \hat{u}_E
\]
## ALP method in electrophysiology

### Discretization of bidomain equations

| Update of ALP solution | \[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\beta} + M \beta - \gamma &= 0 \\
\dot{\mu} + M \mu - \eta &= 0 \\
E \beta + Q \xi &= 0
\end{align*}
\] |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Update of eigenvalue    | \[
\dot{\lambda}_i + \chi \sum_{m=1}^{N} T_{iim} \gamma_m = 0, \quad i = 1 \ldots N
\] |
| Update of matrices/tensors | \[
\begin{align*}
\dot{B} &= [M, B] \\
\dot{E} &= [M, E] \\
\dot{Q} &= [M, Q]
\end{align*}
\] \[\begin{align*}
\dot{T} &= \{M, T\}^{(3)} \\
\dot{T}^{(s)} &= \{M, T^{(s)}\}^{(3)} \\
\dot{Y} &= \{M, Y\}^{(4)}
\end{align*}\] |
| Update of evolution operator | \[
M_{ij} = \frac{\chi}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \sum_{m=1}^{N} T_{ijm} \gamma_m, \quad i, j = 1 \ldots N
\] |
| Compute new equation RHS | \[
\begin{align*}
\gamma &= \gamma(\beta, \xi, \mu) \\
\eta &= \eta(\beta, \mu)
\end{align*}
\] |
Numerical results (I)
Bidomain equations in a 2D mesh

- **FEM solution**
  - 2D mesh with 5978 vertices

- **ALP solution**
  - Initial basis computed with FEM solution (at t = 5 msec)
  - Number of ROM modes N=25

- **POD solution**
  - POD built from a homogeneous parameters simulation
  - Number of ROM modes N=25
  - Number of FEM snapshots = 100, with sampling time 0.5 msec

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Numerical results (1)
Bidomain equations in a 2D mesh

Time = 5.00 ms

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Numerical results (2)
Bidomain equations in 2D - heterogeneous parameters

- **FEM solution**
  - 2D mesh with 5978 vertices
  - heterogeneous parameter \( s=s(x) \)
  
  \[ I(V_m, w) = s(x)u(u-a)(u-1) + w \]

- **ALP solution**
  - Initial basis computed with FEM solution (at \( t = 5 \) msec)
  - Number of ROM modes \( N=25 \)
  - heterogeneous parameter \( s=s(x) \)

- **POD solution**
  - POD built from a **homogeneous** parameters simulation
  - Number of ROM modes \( N=25 \)
  - Number of FEM snapshots = 100, with sampling time 0.5 msec

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Numerical results (2)
Bidomain equations in 2D - heterogeneous parameters

Time = 5.00 ms

FEM (5,978 basis) ALP (25 basis) POD (25 basis)

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Numerical results (3)
Bidomain equations in 2D - source term

- **FEM solution**
  - 2D mesh with 5978 vertices
  - ectopic pacemaker (source at t = 0 msec + t = 60 msec)

- **ALP solution**
  - Initial basis computed with FEM solution (at t = 5 msec)
  - Number of ROM modes N=25
  - ectopic pacemaker (source at t = 60 msec)

- **POD solution**
  - POD built from a homogeneous parameters simulation
  - Number of ROM modes N=25
  - Number of FEM snapshots = 100, with sampling time 0.5 msec

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Numerical results (3)
Bidomain equations in 2D - source term

Time = 5.00 ms

FEM (5,978 basis)  ALP (25 basis)  POD (25 basis)

[Gerbeau, Lombardi, Schenone - 2014]
Conclusions

- Numerical simulations make presentations nice and colorful
- Cardiac electrophysiology equations are complicated
- FEM are boring
- ROM are much more fun, ... but use them carefully!

- POD does not always work and often need many FEM solutions to give good results
- ALP does not need an *a priori* knowledge of the solution, no data-base is needed

- A lot of things are still to do ... maybe during my post-doc!
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