## Formalizing Asymptotic Complexity Claims via Deductive Program Verification

Armaël Guéneau

Gallium

## Formalizing Asymptotic Complexity Claims via Deductive Program Verification

#### Recall our undergrad algorithm courses...

"Is the value 4 present in this sorted array?"



"Binary search finds the element in time  $O(\log n)$ "

```
(* Requires arr to be a sorted array of integers.
    Returns k such that i <= k < j and arr.(k) = v
    or -1 if there is no such k. *)
let rec bsearch (arr: int array) v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
        let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
        if v = arr.(k) then k
        else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
        else bsearch arr v (i+1) j
```

```
(* Requires arr to be a sorted array of integers.
    Returns k such that i <= k < j and arr.(k) = v
    or -1 if there is no such k. *)
let rec bsearch (arr: int array) v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
        let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
        if v = arr.(k) then k
        else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
        else bsearch arr v (i+1) j
```

- # bsearch [|1;3;4;6;7;8;10;13;14|] 4 0 9;;
- -: int = 2

It works! We could even prove that it **always** works.

```
(* Requires arr to be a sorted array of integers.
    Returns k such that i <= k < j and arr.(k) = v
    or -1 if there is no such k. *)
let rec bsearch (arr: int array) v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
        let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
        if v = arr.(k) then k
        else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
        else bsearch arr v (i+1) j
```

But there is a complexity bug...

```
(* Requires arr to be a sorted array of integers.
    Returns k such that i <= k < j and arr.(k) = v
    or -1 if there is no such k. *)
let rec bsearch (arr: int array) v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
        let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
        if v = arr.(k) then k
        else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
        else bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

(\* Requires arr to be a sorted array of integers. Returns k such that i <= k < j and arr.(k) = v or -1 if there is no such k. \*) let rec bsearch (arr: int array) v i j = if j <= i then -1 else let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in if v = arr.(k) then k else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k else bsearch arr v (k+1) j

## Complexity bugs can be critical

http://ocert.org/advisories/ocert-2011-003.html

"Denial of Service via Algorithmic Complexity Attacks", S. Crosby, D. Wallach

#### One of the things we do at Gallium...

### Machine-checked proofs of programs

#### One of the things we do at Gallium...

### Machine-checked proofs of programs

### including their algorithmic complexity

## Formalizing Asymptotic Complexity Claims via Deductive Program Verification

• People write programs

- People write programs
- Programs contain **bugs** (ie. sometimes, they do not behave as expected)

- People write programs
- Programs contain **bugs** (ie. sometimes, they do not behave as expected)

Formal verification is a set of techniques for:

- People write programs
- Programs contain **bugs** (ie. sometimes, they do not behave as expected)

Formal verification is a set of techniques for:

• Writing a wishlist about a program (aka specification)

- People write programs
- Programs contain **bugs** (ie. sometimes, they do not behave as expected)

Formal verification is a set of techniques for:

- Writing a wishlist about a program (aka specification)
- Checking the program against this wishlist ("is the program correct?")

## Formalizing Asymptotic Complexity Claims of Deductive Program Verification

From the code of the program and the specification, deduce a set of proof obligations, and try to prove those.

- Automated proofs: FramaC (C), Verifast (C, Java), Infer (C, C++, Obj-C, Java), Why3 (OCaml)
- Interactive proofs, using "proof assistants": Coq, Isabelle

• The program does not crash when you run it

- The program does not crash when you run it
- The program terminates (does not get stuck in a loop)

- The program does not crash when you run it
- The program terminates (does not get stuck in a loop)
- The program computes the right result

- The program does not crash when you run it
- The program terminates (does not get stuck in a loop)
- The program computes the right result
- The program does not leak secrets (e.g. for crypto primitives)

- The program does not crash when you run it
- The program terminates (does not get stuck in a loop)
- The program computes the right result
- The program does not leak secrets (e.g. for crypto primitives)
- The program does not use too much time

- The program does not crash when you run it
- The program terminates (does not get stuck in a loop)
- The program computes the right result
- The program does not leak secrets (e.g. for crypto primitives)
- The program does not use too much time
- The program does not use too much space, network bandwidth...

## Formalizing Asymptotic Complexity Claims via Deductive Program Verification

#### We're interested in high-level time analysis

We're interested in high-level time analysis

• Not "binary search terminates in less than 5ms"

We're interested in high-level time analysis

- Not "binary search terminates in less than 5ms"
- Rather "binary search runs in  $O(\log n)$  steps"

#### Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
    if j <= i then -1 else
3
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
4
      if v = arr.(k) then k bsearch arr v i j COStS
5
    else if v < arr.(k) then
6
        bsearch arr v i k
7
      else
8
        bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

```
Flawed proof:
    O(1).
```

#### Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
    if j <= i then -1 else
3
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
4
      if v = arr.(k) then k bsearch arr v i j COStS
5
    else if v < arr.(k) then
6
        bsearch arr v i k
7
      else
8
        bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

Flawed proof: O(1).

By induction on j - i:

#### Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
    if j <= i then -1 else
3
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
4
      if v = arr.(k) then k bsearch arr v i j COStS
5
    else if v < arr_{k}(k) then
6
        bsearch arr v i k
7
      else
8
        bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

Flawed proof: O(1).

By induction on j - i:

•  $i - i \le 0$ : line 2 is O(1). OK!

# Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
     if j <= i then -1 else
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
3
4
       if v = arr.(k) then k
5
    else if v < arr_{k}(k) then
6
         bsearch arr v i k
7
      else
8
         bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

```
in Flawed proof:
bsearch arr v i j costs O(1).
```

By induction on j - i:

- $j i \le 0$ : line 2 is O(1). OK!
- j i > 0: O(1) (I.3-5) + O(1) (I.6) + O(1) (I.8) = O(1). OK!

# Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
     if j <= i then -1 else
                                          Flawed proof:
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
3
4
       if v = arr.(k) then k
                                     bsearch arr v i j costs
5
    else if v < arr.(k) then
                                               O(1).
6
         bsearch arr v i k
                                     (actual cost: O(\log(j-i)))
7
      else
8
         bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

"By induction on j - i" ...but which statement are we proving?

# Typical paper proofs rely on informal reasoning principles – which can easily be abused

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
1
2
     if j <= i then -1 else
                                          Flawed proof:
      let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
3
4
      if v = arr.(k) then k
                                    bsearch arr v i j costs
5
    else if v < arr.(k) then
                                               O(1).
6
         bsearch arr v i k
                                     (actual cost: O(\log(j-i)))
7
      else
8
         bsearch arr v (k+1) j
```

"By induction on j - i" ...but which statement are we proving?

 $\forall n, \exists c, ``$  bsearch costs  $c'' \neq \exists c, \forall n, ``$  bsearch costs c''

#### Reasoning about O in a proof assistant

Using a proof assistant steers us clear of these abuses... but maybe also from the simplicity of paper proofs.

#### "bsearch arr v i j runs in $O(\log(j-i))$ steps."

#### Formally, what are we trying to prove?

#### "bsearch arr v i j runs in $O(\log(j-i))$ steps."



"there exists a cost function  $f \in O(\log n)$  such that for every arr, v, i, j, bsearch arr v i j runs in at most f(j-i) steps."

```
"there exists a cost function f \in O(\log n) such that
for every arr, v, i, j,
bsearch arr v i j runs in at most f(j-i) steps."
```

First step of the proof: exhibit a concrete cost function?

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
    let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
    if v = arr.(k) then k
    else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
    else bsearch arr v (k+1) j</pre>
```

Concrete cost function?

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
    let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
    if v = arr.(k) then k
    else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
    else bsearch arr v (k+1) j</pre>
```

Concrete cost function?  $2\log(j-i) + 1$ ?

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
    let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
    if v = arr.(k) then k
    else if v < arr.(k) then bsearch arr v i k
    else bsearch arr v (k+1) j</pre>
```

Concrete cost function?  $2\log(j-i) + 1$ ?  $3\log(j-i) + 4$ ?

#### • Interactive proofs (using Coq)

#### Our approach to this problem

- Interactive proofs (using Coq)
- Convince Coq to postpone the moment where the concrete cost function is provided

#### Our approach to this problem

- Interactive proofs (using Coq)
- Convince Coq to postpone the moment where the concrete cost function is provided
- Start proving the program and its invariants without knowing the cost function

#### Our approach to this problem

- Interactive proofs (using Coq)
- Convince Coq to postpone the moment where the concrete cost function is provided
- Start proving the program and its invariants without knowing the cost function
- At the same time, infer the cost function from the code of the program

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
    if j <= i then -1 else
    let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
    if v = arr.(k) then k
    else if v < arr.(k) then
        bsearch arr v i k
    else
        bsearch arr v (k+1) j</pre>
```

cost (j-i) = 1 + ...

```
let rec bsearch arr v i j =
    if j <= i    then -1    else
    let k = i + (j - i) / 2 in
    if v = arr.(k)    then k
    else    if v < arr.(k)    then
        bsearch arr v i k
    else
        bsearch arr v (k+1) j</pre>
```

 $cost (j-i) = 1 + (if (j-i) \le 0 \text{ then } ... \text{ else } ...)$ 

 $cost (j-i) = 1 + (if (j-i) \le 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } ...)$ 











Solve this equation, and prove that cost(n) is  $O(\log n)$ : by hand, or using the "Master theorem".

Machine-checked proofs of the asymptotic complexity of programs.

- Implemented as a Coq library, to verify OCaml programs
- Similar approach implemented in Isabelle at TUM (Munich)
- The approach could be applied to other languages (eg. C, C++, Java)