

Heston stochastic volatility model simulation

Zeqi CHEN

March 4, 2020

Premia 22

1 Theory

According to Mr. Michael B. Giles and Mr. Lukasz Szpruch's paper [1], let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{P})$ be a complete probability space with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions, let $\omega(t)$ be a D-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the probability space. We would like to estimate $E[P(x(T))]$ with

$$dx(t) = f(x(t))dt + g(x(t))d\omega(t)$$

$x(t)$ in \mathcal{R}^d , $f \in C^2(\mathcal{R}^d, \mathcal{R}^d)$, $g \in C^2(\mathcal{R}^d, \mathcal{R}^{d \times D})$ defining the tensor $h_{ijk}(x)$ as

$$h_{ijk}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^d g_{lk}(x) \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_l}(x) \quad i = 1 \cdots d \text{ and } k, j = 1 \cdots D$$

Then

$$\hat{X}_{i,n+1} = \hat{X}_{i,n} + f_i(\hat{X}_n)\Delta t + \sum_{j=1}^D g_{ij}(\hat{X}_n)\Delta\omega_{j,n} + \sum_{j,k=1}^D h_{ijk}(\hat{X}_n)(\Delta\omega_{j,n}\Delta\omega_{k,n} - \Omega_{jk}\Delta t - A_{jk,n})$$

and $A_{jk,n}$ is the lévy area and in antithetic case we neglect this term.

2 Heston stochastic volatility model

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= rS_t dt + \sqrt{V_t}S_t(\rho dW_2 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}dW_1) \\ dV_t &= \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{V_t}dW_2 \end{aligned}$$

We can transform these differential equation by using differential on a vector as

$$d \begin{bmatrix} \log(S_t) \\ V_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r - \frac{V_t}{2} \\ \kappa(\theta - V_t) \end{bmatrix} dt + \sqrt{V_t} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} & \rho \\ 0 & \sigma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dW_1 \\ dW_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

so in this case $i, j, k \in 1, 2$ and

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} r - \frac{V_t}{2} \\ \kappa(\theta - V_t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_{11}(x) = \sqrt{x_2}\sqrt{1-\rho^2} \quad g_{12}(x) = \sqrt{x_2}\rho \quad g_{21}(x) = 0 \quad g_{22}(x) = \sigma\sqrt{x_2}$$

Then we could get the first order derivative by x as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_{11}(x)}{\partial x_1} &= 0 & \frac{\partial g_{11}(x)}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{2\sqrt{x_2}} & \frac{\partial g_{12}(x)}{\partial x_1} &= 0 & \frac{\partial g_{12}(x)}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{x_2}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{21}(x)}{\partial x_1} &= 0 & \frac{\partial g_{21}(x)}{\partial x_2} &= 0 & \frac{\partial g_{22}(x)}{\partial x_1} &= 0 & \frac{\partial g_{22}(x)}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{\sigma}{2\sqrt{x_2}} \end{aligned}$$

Then we begin to calculate the presentation of h:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{112}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 g_{l2}(x) \frac{\partial g_{11}(x)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{2} g_{22}(x) \frac{\partial g_{11}(x)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\sigma}{4} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \\
h_{122}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 g_{l2}(x) \frac{\partial g_{12}(x)}{\partial x_l} = \frac{1}{2} g_{22}(x) \frac{\partial g_{12}(x)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\sigma}{4} \rho \\
h_{111}(x) &= 0 \quad h_{122}(x) = 0 \\
h_{222}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 g_{l2}(x) \frac{\partial g_{22}(x)}{\partial x_l} = \frac{1}{2} g_{22}(x) \frac{\partial g_{22}(x)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \\
h_{211}(x) &= 0 \quad h_{221}(x) = 0 \quad h_{212}(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we could get the discrete simulation formula as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(S_{n+1}) &= \log(S_n) + (r - \frac{1}{2}V_n)\Delta t + \sqrt{V_n}\Delta\omega_{1,n} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma\Delta\omega_{1,n}\Delta\omega_{2,n} - \frac{1}{4}\sigma\rho\Delta t \\
V_{n+1} &= V_n + \kappa(\theta - V_n)\Delta t + \sigma\sqrt{V_n}\Delta\omega_{2,n} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^2(\Delta\omega_{2,n}^2 - \Delta t)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Numeric simulation

Suppose that $\Delta t = \frac{T}{m^t}$, choose G_1, G_3 are the Gaussian Brownian Motion for $\log(\text{Price})$ and G_2, G_4 are the Gaussian Brownian Motion for volatility, also to make sure that they are pairwise independent.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(S_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f}) &= \log(S_{t_n^f}) + (r - \frac{V_n^f}{2})\frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sqrt{V_n^f}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \times (\sqrt{1-\rho^2}G_1 + \rho G_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{4}\frac{\Delta t}{2} \times (\sqrt{1-\rho^2}G_1 + \rho G_2)G_2 - \frac{\sigma\rho}{4}\frac{\Delta t}{2} \\
V_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f} &= V_n^f + \kappa(\theta - V_n^f)\frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sigma\sqrt{V_n^f}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}}G_2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{4}(\frac{\Delta t}{2}G_2^2 - \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \\
\log(S_{t_{n+1}^f}) &= \log(S_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f}) + (r - \frac{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f}{2})\frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sqrt{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \times (\sqrt{1-\rho^2}G_3 + \rho G_4) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{4}\frac{\Delta t}{2} \times (\sqrt{1-\rho^2}G_3 + \rho G_4)G_4 - \frac{\sigma\rho}{4}\frac{\Delta t}{2} \\
V_{t_{n+1}^f} &= V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f + \kappa(\theta - V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f)\frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sigma\sqrt{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^f}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}}G_4 + \frac{\sigma^2}{4}(\frac{\Delta t}{2}G_4^2 - \frac{\Delta t}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

For the same reason, we could use G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4 to generate the antithetic path simulation

which use G_3, G_4 to simulate the first half and G_1, G_2 for the second half.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(S_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^a) &= \log(S_{t_n}^a) + (r - \frac{V_n^a}{2}) \frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sqrt{V_n^a} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \times (\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} G_3 + \rho G_4) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{4} \frac{\Delta t}{2} \times (\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} G_3 + \rho G_4) G_4 - \frac{\sigma \rho}{4} \frac{\Delta t}{2} \\
V_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^a &= V_n^a + \kappa(\theta - V_n^a) \frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sigma \sqrt{V_n^a} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} G_4 + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} (\frac{\Delta t}{2} G_4^2 - \frac{\Delta t}{2}) \\
\log(S_{t_{n+1}}^a) &= \log(S_{t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}}^a) + (r - \frac{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^a}{2}) \frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sqrt{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^a} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \times (\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} G_1 + \rho G_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{4} \frac{\Delta t}{2} \times (\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} G_1 + \rho G_2) G_2 - \frac{\sigma \rho}{4} \frac{\Delta t}{2} \\
V_{t_{n+1}}^a &= V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^a + \kappa(\theta - V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^a) \frac{\Delta t}{2} + \sigma \sqrt{V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^a} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} G_2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} (\frac{\Delta t}{2} G_2^2 - \frac{\Delta t}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

For coarse path simulation, we decide to use the same number of step and we have $\Delta\omega_n = \delta\omega_n + \delta\omega_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(S_{t_{n+1}}^c) &= \log(S_{t_n}^c) + (r - \frac{V_n^c}{2}) \Delta t + \sqrt{V_n^c} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} \times [\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} (G_1 + G_3) + \rho (G_2 + G_4)] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma}{4} \frac{\Delta t}{2} \times [\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} (G_1 + G_3) + \rho (G_2 + G_4)] (G_2 + G_4) - \frac{\sigma \rho}{4} \Delta t \\
V_{t_{n+1}}^c &= V_n^c + \kappa(\theta - V_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^c) \Delta t + \sigma \sqrt{V_n^c} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta t}{2}} (G_2 + G_4) + \frac{\sigma^2}{4} [\frac{\Delta t}{2} (G_2 + G_4)^2 - \Delta t]
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Michael B.Giles and Lukasz Szpruch. Antithetic multilevel monte carlo estimation for multi-dimensional sdes without levy area simulation. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 24(4):1585–1620, 2014. [1](#)