

Connecting Discrete and Continuous Lookback or Hindsight Options under Jump-Diffusion Model

DIA El Hadj Aly
Université Paris-Est

March 3, 2020

Premia 22

We consider continuous lookback and hindsight options which depend on the extremal price of the underlying asset during the life of the options. Perhaps, in exponential Lévy model closed-form formulae are not, in general, available for pricing these options. Then we need to use a discrete numerical method for valuating them. In this context, we study the best way to price a continuous lookback or hindsight option using a discrete lookback or hindsight option, when the price of the underlying asset is the exponential of a finite activity Lévy process. For a general overview about this subject see [1].

1 The exponential Lévy model

The price of the underlying asset, under the risk neutral probability is modeled as followed :

$$S_t = S_0 e^{X_t}$$

where S_0 is the initial price, and X is a Lévy process with generating triplet (γ, σ, ν) . It means that the carateristic function of X is given by (see [4], chapter 2)

$$\mathbb{E} e^{iuX_t} = e^{t\varphi(u)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$$

where φ is defined by :

$$\varphi(u) = i\gamma u - \frac{\sigma^2 u^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq 1}) \nu(dx) \quad (1.1)$$

Of course, we assume that $(e^{-(r-\delta)t} S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale, where r is the continuously compounded interest rate, and δ is the continuously compounded

dividend rate. The process X that we consider here is a finite activity Lévy process (i.e. $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$). Then we can write it in this form (see [2], chapter 4)

$$X_t = \gamma_0 t + \sigma B_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion, N is a poisson process with parameter $\lambda = \nu(\mathbb{R})$, $(Y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. r.v. with law $\frac{\nu(dx)}{\nu(\mathbb{R})}$, and

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma - \int_{|x| \leq 1} x \nu(dx)$$

We define the following hypothesis :

- (H1) X is a finite activity Lévy process, integrable, with $\sigma > 0$ and $\exists \alpha > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}e^{(1+\alpha)M_T} < \infty$;
- (H2) X is a finite activity Lévy process, integrable, with $\sigma > 0$;

2 The theoretical results

Let T the option maturity, $\beta_1 = 0.5826$ (see [1] for the definition of the parameter β_1) and $\Delta t = \frac{T}{n}$ the step of the fixing dates. At a given time $t \in [0, T]$, the value of a continuous lookback put option is given by (see [1] for more details)

$$V(S_+) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \max \left(S_+, \sup_{t \leq u \leq T} S_u \right) - S_t e^{-\delta(T-t)}$$

where $S_+ = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} S_u$ is the predetermined max. The call value depends similarly on the predetermined min $S_- = \inf_{0 \leq u \leq t} S_u$

$$V(S_-) = S_t e^{-\delta(T-t)} - e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \min \left(S_-, \inf_{t \leq u \leq T} S_u \right)$$

The discrete version values at the k^{th} fixing date are

$$V_n(S_+) = e^{-r\Delta(n-k)} \mathbb{E} \max \left(S_+, \max_{k \leq j \leq n} S_{j\Delta t} \right) - S_{k\Delta t} e^{-\delta(n-k)\Delta t}, \text{ for the put}$$

$$V_n(S_-) = S_{k\Delta t} e^{-\delta(n-k)\Delta t} - e^{-r\Delta(n-k)} \mathbb{E} \min \left(S_-, \min_{k \leq j \leq n} S_{j\Delta t} \right), \text{ for the call}$$

where $S_+ = \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} S_{j\Delta t}$ and $S_- = \min_{0 \leq j \leq k} S_{j\Delta t}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The price of a discrete lookback option at the k^{th} fixing date and its continuous version at time $t = k\Delta t$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(S_{\pm}) &= e^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} V\left(S_{\pm}e^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}\right) \pm \left(e^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} - 1\right) e^{-\delta(T-t)} S_t + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ V(S_{\pm}) &= e^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} V_n\left(S_{\pm}e^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}\right) \pm \left(e^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} - 1\right) e^{-\delta(T-t)} S_t + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where in \pm and \mp , the top case applies to the put and the bottom to the call. The put relations are true under H1, and those for the call under H2.

The price of a continuous hindsight call at a given time $t \in [0, T]$ with predetermined max S_+ and strike K is given by (see [1] for more details)

$$V(S_+, K) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \left(\max \left(S_+, \sup_{t \leq u \leq T} S_u \right) - K \right)^+$$

And similarly for the put

$$V(S_-, K) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \left(K - \min \left(S_-, \inf_{t \leq u \leq T} S_u \right) \right)^+$$

The discrete versions at the k^{th} fixing date are

$$V_n(S_+, K) = e^{-r\Delta t(n-k)} \mathbb{E} \left(\max \left(S_+, \max_{k \leq j \leq n} S_{j\Delta t} \right) - K \right)^+$$

and

$$V_n(S_-, K) = e^{-r\Delta t(n-k)} \mathbb{E} \left(K - \min \left(S_-, \min_{k \leq j \leq n} S_{j\Delta t} \right) \right)^+$$

Proposition 2.2. *The price of a discrete hindsight option at the k^{th} fixing date and its continuous version at time $t = k\Delta t$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(S_{\pm}, K) &= e^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} V\left(S_{\pm}e^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}, Ke^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ V(S_{\pm}, K) &= e^{\pm\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}} V_n\left(S_{\pm}e^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}, Ke^{\mp\beta_1\sigma\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}}}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where in \pm and \mp , the top case applies to the call and the bottom to the put. The call relations are true under H1, and those for the put under H2.

3 The variance reduction techniques

We couple two variance reduction techniques in our simulations : antithetic variates and control variates (see [3] for more details about these methods). We

know that if X is a Lévy process, then (see [4], remark 45.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t &=^d X_T - \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t \\ \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t &=^d X_T - \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} X_t \end{aligned}$$

These results also hold for the discrete supremum and infimum. Then, our antithetic variates are

$$\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{kT}{n}}, X_T - \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{kT}{n}}$$

in the case of the put lookback and the call hindsight, and

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{kT}{n}}, X_T - \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_{\frac{kT}{n}}$$

in the case of the call lookback and the put hindsight, where n denote the number of discretization points. That technique permits to reduce a bit the variance. We used as control variates, the discrete option under the Black-Scholes corresponding model and the terminal price S_T . The two methods reduce the variance a lot.

References

- [1] BROADIE, M., GLASSERMAN, P. AND KOU, S. G. (1999). Connecting discrete and continuous path-dependent options. *Finance Stochast.* 3, 55-82, 1999. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [2] CONT, R. AND TANKOV, P. (2004). *Financial modelling with jump processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series, Boca Raton 2004, XVI, 535 pp., ISBN 1-5848-8413-4. [2](#)
- [3] GLASSERMAN, P. (2004). *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*. New York Springer cop. 2004, vol. 1, XIII, 596 pp, ISBN 0-387-00451-3 [3](#)
- [4] SATO, K. (1999). *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge university press, cop. 1999, vol. 1, XII, 486 pp., ISBN 0521553024.

[1](#), [4](#)